

į

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,671	03/23/2004		Robert D. Fogal SR.	115838.00110 1172	
21324	7590	03/30/2005		EXAMINER	
HAHN LOI	ESER & I	PARKS, LLP	STORMER, RUSSELL D		
One GOJO P	laza		•		D. DED \
Suite 300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44311-1076				3617	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

(s)							
. ~	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/806,671	FOGAL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Russell D. Stormer	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	nuary 2005.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,6,17 and 22-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-16,18 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/11/04</u> .	6) Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/806,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I and claims 1-3, 5, 7-16, 18, and 21 in the reply filed on January 19, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are related to each other in that they all use a chamber filled with a balancing media which provides the same function, effect, and operation. This is not found persuasive because Although the different inventions operated the same and have much of the same structure, a search for the balancing device which is located inside the structure of the rim or the device which is attached to the interliner of a tire would require a search in an art which is not required for the elected embodiment. Further, the examination of these inventions would require different art for rejections and different considerations, all of which would place an extreme burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "up to 180 degrees or less" appears to be redundant and seems that the words "or less" could be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8-14, 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Johnson (cited by Applicants).

The segment as shown in figure 5 comprises a balancing device which extends approximately 120 degrees around the rim.

With respect to claim 9, the particulate material used in the balancing device is sand, which is considered to be a glass material.

With respect to claim 14, the shape of the balancing device as shown in figure 4 is considered to be "D" shaped.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/806,671

Art Unit: 3617

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 3, 8, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of Pierce '690.

With respect to claims 15 and 16, Johnson is further applied in that it would have been obvious to design a cartridge which extends only 90 degrees or less, or 15-45 degrees as such is considered to be an obvious design expedient based on the intended use of the balancing device, the wheel it will be used on, the number of chambers desired, etc.

The balancing device of Johnson is not attached by an adhesive and the flowable media does not completely fill the chamber.

Pierce '690 teaches a wheel balancing device in which the chamber may be either completely or partially filled with a viscous fluid (flowable media) and the device is attached to the wheel rim by an adhesive.

Art Unit: 3617

From this teaching it would have been obvious to attach the balancing device of Johnson to the wheel rim by an adhesive in order to eliminate the attachment band 13 and reduce the weight of the assembly, and to fill the chamber completely with a fluid in order to get a better dispersion of the media during use.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show other wheel balancing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,671

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*

3/18/05

RUSSELL D. STORMER 3/PRIMARY EXAMINER 18/

Page 6